arXiv:1510.07984v1 [math.CO] 27 Oct 2015

BARYCENTERS OF POLYTOPE SKELETA AND COUNTEREXAMPLES TO THE TOPOLOGICAL TVERBERG CONJECTURE, VIA CONSTRAINTS

PAVLE V. M. BLAGOJEVIĆ, FLORIAN FRICK, AND GÜNTER M. ZIEGLER

Dedicated to the memory of Jiří Matoušek

Abstract. Using the authors' 2014 "constraints method," we give a short proof for a 2015 result of Dobbins on representations of a point in a polytope as the barycenter of points in a skeleton, and show that the "r-fold Whitney trick" of Mabillard and Wagner (2014/2015) implies that the Topological Tverberg Conjecture for r-fold intersections fails dramatically for all r that are not prime powers.

1. Introduction

The *Topological Tverberg Theorem* states that for any $d \geq 1$, prime power $r \geq 2$, and $N := (r-1)(d+1)$ every continuous map of the simplex Δ_N to $\mathbb{R}^d$ identifies points from r vertex-disjoint faces of Δ_N. This result was established for prime r by Bárány, Shlosman & Szűcs [2] in 1981, and for prime powers r in famous unpublished work by Özaydin [15] from 1987. The belief that the result should be equally valid for values $r \geq 6$ that are not prime powers, known as the *Topological Tverberg Conjecture*, was left open, as "a holy grail of topological combinatorics" (Kalai [9]).

The "constraint method," introduced by the authors in 2014 [4], shows that the Topological Tverberg Theorem implies virtually all subsequent extensions and sharpenings that were previously viewed as substantial independent results, such as the "Colored Tverberg Theorem" of Živaljević & Vrećica [21] or the "Generalized Van Kampen–Flores Theorem" of Sarkaria [16] and Volovikov [18].

In this paper, we first illustrate the mechanism and the power of the constraint method outside the classical "Tverberg type theorems," by a simple proof of a 2015 *Inventiones* result of Dobbins [6]. (This was the content of our research announcement [3].)

More importantly, we get a new quality by showing that the constraint method not only yields substantial consequences by "using the Topological Tverberg Theorem": It also allows us to derive from deep recent work of Mabillard and Wagner the failure of the Topological Tverberg Conjecture for all $r \geq 6$ that are not prime powers.

Mabillard and Wagner announced their work on the "r-fold Whitney trick" at the *SoCG* conference in June 2014 [12]. At that time, it seemed that due to the codimension condition implicit in both the classical and the r-fold Whitney trick, their work would not yield the desired counterexamples to the Topological Tverberg Conjecture. This was reiterated in lectures by Wagner in Copenhagen (November 2014) and by Mabillard in Berlin (January 2015). Thus the insight and announcement of counterexamples by the second author in February 2015 in Oberwolfach [7] seemed to be surprising (as documented e.g. on the Kalai blog [9]). Now, after the release of the full journal version of the Mabillard–Wagner work on ArXiv in August 2015 [13], we present here our short and simple proof that this yields the failure of the Topological Tverberg Conjecture (based on the research announcement [7]) in Section 4. Finally we comment on the degree of failure of the Topological Tverberg Conjecture, and pose a new conjecture, in Section 5.

2. Constraints, an example

The main idea and the power of the so called "constraint method" becomes apparent in the following brief proof of a result by Dobbins from 2015.

Date: October 27, 2015.

P.B. was supported by the DFG Collaborative Research Center TRR 109 "Discretization in Geometry and Dynamics" and by grant ON 174008, Serbian Ministry of Education and Science.

F.F. was supported by DFG via the Berlin Mathematical School.

G.M.Z. received funding from the ERC project no. 247029-*SDModels* and by DFG via the Research Training Group "Methods for Discrete Structures".

Theorem 2.1 (Dobbins [6, Thm. 1]). *Let P be a d-dimensional polytope, $p \in P$, and let k and r be positive integers with $kr \geq d$. Then there are points $p_1, \ldots, p_r$ in the k-skeleton $P^{(k)}$ of P with barycenter*

$$p = \tfrac{1}{r}(p_1 + \cdots + p_r).$$

Proof. We can assume that $p = 0$ is in the interior of P, otherwise we could restrict to a proper face of P with the origin in its relative interior. Let first r be prime. Consider the linear space $W_r = \{(x_1, \ldots, x_r) \in \mathbb{R}^r : \sum x_i = 0\}$ and its d-th direct sum $W_r^{\oplus d} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{d\times r}$ that is a subspace of codimension d inside $\mathbb{R}^{d\times r}$. Then $C = P^r \cap W_r^{\oplus d}$ is a polytope of dimension $(r-1)d$. The $(r-1)$-skeleton $C^{(r-1)}$ of C is homotopy equivalent to a wedge of $(r-1)$-spheres and thus is $(r-2)$-connected.

Let $(x_1, \ldots, x_r) \in C^{(r-1)}$, then at least one x_i lies in $P^{(k)}$. Suppose for contradiction that $x_i \notin P^{(k)}$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, r$. For each x_i let σ_i be the inclusion-minimal face of P with $x_i \in \sigma_i$. Consequently, $\dim \sigma_i \geq k+1$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, r$. Each face of C is of the form $(\tau_1 \times \cdots \times \tau_r) \cap W_r^{\oplus d}$ with the τ_i faces of P. The point $(x_1, \ldots, x_r)$ lies in the face $(\sigma_1 \times \cdots \times \sigma_r) \cap W_r^{\oplus d}$ but in no proper subface. Now

$$\dim\big((\sigma_1 \times \cdots \times \sigma_r) \cap W_r^{\oplus d}\big) \geq r(k+1) - d \geq r.$$

Thus, $(\sigma_1 \times \cdots \times \sigma_r) \cap W_r^{\oplus d} \notin C^{(r-1)}$, which is a contradiction.

Consider the function $\psi\colon C^{(r-1)} \longrightarrow W_r$ defined by

$$(x_1, \ldots, x_r) \longmapsto (\operatorname{dist}(x_1, P^{(k)}) - \tfrac{1}{r}D, \ldots, \operatorname{dist}(x_r, P^{(k)}) - \tfrac{1}{r}D),$$

where $D = \sum_{i=1}^r \operatorname{dist}(x_i, P^{(k)})$. If the action of the symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_r$ is given on P^r and $\mathbb{R}^r$ by the permutation of factors in the product then the subspaces $C^{(r-1)}$ and W_r are invariant and inherit the action. The continuous function ψ is $\mathfrak{S}_r$-equivariant. The vector space W_r is $(r-1)$-dimensional and $C^{(r-1)}$ is $(r-2)$-connected, so ψ has a zero by a theorem of Dold [14, Thm. 6.2.6] applied to the subgroup $\mathbb{Z}/r$ of $\mathfrak{S}_r$, which acts freely on $W_r \backslash \{0\}$. Let $(p_1, \ldots, p_r) \in C^{(r-1)}$ with $\psi(p_1, \ldots, p_r) = 0$. This is equivalent to $\operatorname{dist}(p_1, P^{(k)}) = \cdots = \operatorname{dist}(p_r, P^{(k)})$. Since, there is an index i such that $p_i \in P^{(k)}$ it follows that $\operatorname{dist}(p_i, P^{(k)}) = 0$. Consequently, all p_j satisfy $\operatorname{dist}(p_j, P^{(k)}) = 0$ and hence are in $P^{(k)}$. Since $C^{(r-1)} \subseteq W_r^{\oplus d}$ we have $p_1 + \cdots + p_r = 0$.

The case for general r follows by a simple induction with respect to the number of prime divisors, as in [6]: Suppose $r = q_1 \cdots q_t$ with q_i prime and the theorem holds for any number r that is a product of at most $t-1$ primes. Let $m = q_2 \cdots q_t$. Since $m \cdot q_1 k = rk \geq d$, there are m points $x_1, \ldots, x_m$ in $P^{(q_1 k)}$ with $p = \frac{1}{m}(x_1 + \cdots + x_m)$. Each x_i is contained in a $(q_1 k)$-face σ_i of P. Thus, there are q_1 points $y_i^{(1)}, \ldots, y_i^{(q_1)}$ in the k-skeleton $\sigma_i^{(k)}$ of σ_i with $x_i = \frac{1}{q_1}(y_i^{(1)} + \cdots + y_i^{(q_1)})$. In particular, the $y_i^{(j)}$ are also contained in $P^{(k)}$ and $p = \frac{1}{m}\sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{q_1}\sum_{j=1}^{q_1} y_i^{(j)} = \frac{1}{r}\sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^{q_1} y_i^{(j)}$. □

3. Topological Tverberg implies Generalized Van Kampen–Flores

Imre Bárány, in a 1976 letter to Helge Tverberg, asked whether Tverberg's classical 1966 theorem [17] would generalize from affine maps to continuous maps, that is, to yield for any continuous map $f\colon \Delta_N \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ the existence of r points from disjoint faces of the N-dimensional simplex that map to the same point in $\mathbb{R}^d$, for $N = (r-1)(d+1)$. The problem was posed by Tverberg on an Oberwolfach conference in May 1978, and first appeared in print as [8, Problem 84] in 1979. For $r = 2$ this had been established by Bajmóczy and Bárány [1]. In 1981 Bárány, Shlosman and Szűcs answered Bárány's question positively for the case of primes, and later in 1987 Özaydin for the case of prime powers. This result is known as the *Topological Tverberg Theorem*.

Theorem 3.1 (Bárány, Shlosman and Szűcs [2], Özaydin [15]). *Let $d \geq 1$ be an integer, let r be a power of prime, and $N = (r-1)(d+1)$. Then for any continuous map $f\colon \Delta_N \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ there are r pairwise disjoint faces $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_r$ of the simplex Δ_N with $f(\sigma_1) \cap \cdots \cap f(\sigma_r) \neq \emptyset$.*

The result for prime r follows from the connectivity of the configuration spaces $(\Delta_N)^{\times r}_{\Delta(2)}$ and freeness of the $\mathbb{Z}/r$-action on the sphere $S(W_r^{\oplus d})$. The prime power case $r = p^k$ is due to the fixed-point free action of the elementary abelian group $G = (\mathbb{Z}/p)^k$ on the sphere $S(W_r^{\oplus d})$ together with a corollary to the Localization Theorem for elementary abelian groups (cf. [5, Thm. III.3.8]): For any finite-dimensional G-CW complex X the following are equivalent,

(i) The fixed-point set X^G is non-empty,
(ii) The map $H^*(\mathrm{B}G; \mathbb{F}_p) \longrightarrow H^*(\mathrm{E}G \times_G X; \mathbb{F}_p)$, induced by a projection $\mathrm{E}G \times_G X \longrightarrow \mathrm{B}G$, is injective.

The following Generalized Van Kampen–Flores Theorem turns out to be an easy corollary of the Topological Tverberg Theorem, if we use the constraint method [4, Thm. 6.3]. It was originally obtained, with significantly more involved proofs, by Sarkaria for primes and by Volovikov for prime powers.

Theorem 3.2 (Sarkaria [16], Volovikov [18]). *Let $d \geq 1$ be an integer, let r be a power of a prime, set $N = (r-1)(d+2)$, and let $k \geq \lceil \frac{r-1}{r} d \rceil$. Then for any continuous map $f\colon \Delta_N \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ there are r pairwise disjoint faces $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_r$ of the k-th skeleton $\Delta_N^{(k)}$ with $f(\sigma_1) \cap \cdots \cap f(\sigma_r) \neq \emptyset$.*

Proof. Let $g\colon \Delta_N \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d+1}$ be a continuous function defined by $g(x) = (f(x), \operatorname{dist}(x, \Delta_N^{(k)}))$. The Topological Tverberg Theorem applied to the function g yields a collection of points $x_1, \ldots, x_r$ in pairwise disjoint faces $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_r$ with $f(x_1) = \cdots = f(x_r)$ and $\operatorname{dist}(x_1, \Delta_N^{(k)}) = \cdots = \operatorname{dist}(x_r, \Delta_N^{(k)})$. We can assume that the all σ_i's are inclusion-minimal with the property that $x_i \in \sigma_i$, that is, σ_i is the unique face with x_i in its relative interior. Now, if one of the σ_i's were in $\Delta_N^{(k)}$ then $\operatorname{dist}(x_1, \Delta_N^{(k)}) = 0$ implying that $\operatorname{dist}(x_1, \Delta_N^{(k)}) = \cdots = \operatorname{dist}(x_r, \Delta_N^{(k)}) = 0$, and consequently the theorem would be proved.

Let us assume the contrary, that no σ_i is in $\Delta_N^{(k)}$, i.e., $\dim \sigma_1 \geq k+1, \ldots, \dim \sigma_r \geq k+1$. Since the faces $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_r$ are pairwise disjoint we have that

$$N + 1 = |\Delta_N| \geq |\sigma_1| + \cdots + |\sigma_r| \geq r(k+2) \geq r\big(\lceil \tfrac{r-1}{r} d \rceil + 2\big) \geq (r-1)(d+2) + 2 = N + 2.$$

This is a contradiction. Therefore, one of the faces $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_r$ has to belong to the k-th skeleton $\Delta_N^{(k)}$. □

The essential conclusion is that: *If the Topological Tverberg Theorem holds for an integer r, then the Generalized Van Kampen–Flores Theorem also holds for the same integer r.*

4. Counterexamples to the Topological Tverberg Conjecture

In this section we will show how a result of Mabillard and Wagner implies counterexamples to the r-fold version of the Generalized Van Kampen–Flores Theorem for any r that is not a prime power. Since this result would be a corollary of the Topological Tverberg Conjecture, this also implies that this conjecture is wrong for any r that is not a power of a prime. The results of this section are the content of the second author's research announcement [7].

For a simplicial complex K denote by

$$K^{\times r}_{\Delta(2)} = \{(x_1, \ldots, x_r) \in \sigma_1 \times \cdots \times \sigma_r \mid \sigma_i \text{ face of } K, \sigma_i \cap \sigma_j = \emptyset \text{ for } i \neq j\}$$

the *pairwise deleted r-fold product* of K. The space $K^{\times r}_{\Delta(2)}$ is a polytopal cell complex (its faces are products of simplices).

Theorem 4.1 (Mabillard & Wagner [12, Thm. 3] [13, Thm. 7]). *Let $r \geq 2$ and $k \geq 3$ be integers, and let K be a simplicial complex of dimension $(r-1)k$. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

(i) *There exists an $\mathfrak{S}_r$-equivariant map $K^{\times r}_{\Delta(2)} \longrightarrow S(W_r^{\oplus rk})$.*

(ii) *There exists a continuous map $f\colon K \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{rk}$ such that for any r pairwise disjoint faces $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_r$ of K we have that $f(\sigma_1) \cap \cdots \cap f(\sigma_r) = \emptyset$.*

Using the theorem of Mabillard and Wagner we prove that the Generalized Van Kampen–Flores Theorem does not hold in general.

Theorem 4.2. *Let $r \geq 6$ be an integer that is not a prime power, and let $k \geq 3$ be an integer. Then for any integer $N > 0$ there exists a continuous map $f\colon \Delta_N \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{rk}$ such that for any r pairwise disjoint faces $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_r$ of $\Delta_N^{((r-1)k)}$ we have that $f(\sigma_1) \cap \cdots \cap f(\sigma_r) = \emptyset$.*

Proof. Let $\mathrm{E}_M\mathfrak{S}_r$ denote an M-dimensional free $\mathfrak{S}_r$-complex that is $(M-1)$-connected. For example, $\mathrm{E}_M\mathfrak{S}_r$ can be modeled by the $(M+1)$-fold join $(\mathfrak{S}_r)^{*M+1}$ where, with usual abuse of notation, $\mathfrak{S}_r$ is assumed to be a zero dimensional simplicial complex whose vertices are elements of the group $\mathfrak{S}_r$. The group action is given by left translation.

The free $\mathfrak{S}_r$-space $(\Delta_N^{((r-1)k)})^{\times r}_{\Delta(2)}$ has dimension at most $d = r(r-1)k$. Consequently, there exists an $\mathfrak{S}_r$-equivariant map $(\Delta_N^{((r-1)k)})^{\times r}_{\Delta(2)} \longrightarrow \mathrm{E}_{r(r-1)k}\mathfrak{S}_r$. Since r is not a prime power a result of Özaydin [15, Thm. 4.2] implies the existence of an $\mathfrak{S}_r$-equivariant map $\mathrm{E}_{r(r-1)k}\mathfrak{S}_r \longrightarrow S(W_r^{\oplus d})$. Composing these two maps we get a $\mathfrak{S}_r$-equivariant map $(\Delta_N^{((r-1)k)})^{\times r}_{\Delta(2)} \longrightarrow S(W_r^{\oplus d})$. Thus, Theorem 4.1 implies that there exists a continuous map $f\colon \Delta_N^{((r-1)k)} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{rk}$ such that for any collection of r pairwise disjoint faces $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_r$ of $\Delta_N^{((r-1)k)}$ we have that $f(\sigma_1) \cap \cdots \cap f(\sigma_r) = \emptyset$. □

It is worth stressing that the theorem above provides counterexamples to an extension of the Generalized Van Kampen–Flores Theorem for any r that is not a prime power, and for *any* N. Thus any simplicial complex K of dimension $(r-1)k$ can be mapped continuously to $\mathbb{R}^{rk}$ in such a way that any r

pairwise disjoint faces do not overlap in the image. Since the Generalized Van Kampen–Flores Theorem is a higher-dimensional, multi-intersection analogue of "K_5 is non-planar", in the sense that the case $r = 2$ and $d = 2$ reduces to this statement, the theorem above implies that *some* higher-dimensional, multi-intersection analogue of the non-planarity of K_5 exists if and only if r is a power of a prime.

We have already pointed out that, if the Topological Tverberg Conjecture holds for some r, then the Generalized Van Kampen–Flores Theorem holds for the same r. Since Theorem 4.2 contradicts the r-fold Van Kampen–Flores Theorem for r not a power of a prime, the Topological Tverberg Conjecture must also fail for those r.

Theorem 4.3. *Let $r \geq 6$ be an integer that is not a prime power, and let $k \geq 3$ be an integer. Let $N = (r-1)(rk+2)$. Then there exists a continuous map $F\colon \Delta_N \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{rk+1}$ such that for any r pairwise disjoint faces $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_r$ of Δ_N we have that $F(\sigma_1) \cap \cdots \cap F(\sigma_r) = \emptyset$.*

Proof. We will explicitly construct the map F from the map f whose existence is guaranteed by Theorem 4.2. Let $f\colon \Delta_N \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{rk}$ be a continuous map as constructed in Theorem 4.2, that is, such that for any r pairwise disjoint faces $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_r$ of $\Delta_N^{((r-1)k)}$ we have that $f(\sigma_1) \cap \cdots \cap f(\sigma_r) = \emptyset$. Define the function $F\colon \Delta_N \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{rk+1}$, $x \longmapsto (f(x), \operatorname{dist}(x, \Delta_N^{((r-1)k)}))$. Suppose there were r pairwise disjoint faces $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_r$ of Δ_N such that there are points $x_i \in \sigma_i$ with $F(x_1) = \cdots = F(x_r)$. By restricting to subfaces if necessary we can assume that x_i is in the relative interior of σ_i. Then all the x_i have the same distance to the $(r-1)k$-skeleton of Δ_N.

Suppose that all faces σ_i had dimension at least $(r-1)k+1$. Then these faces would involve at least $r((r-1)k+2) = (r-1)(rk+2)+2 > N+1$ vertices. Thus, one face σ_j has dimension at most $(r-1)k$ and $\operatorname{dist}(x_j, \Delta_N^{((r-1)k)}) = 0$. But then we have $\operatorname{dist}(x_i, \Delta_N^{((r-1)k)}) = 0$ for all i, so $x_i \in \Delta_N^{((r-1)k)}$ and thus $\sigma_i \subseteq \Delta_N^{((r-1)k)}$ for all i. This contradicts our assumption on f. □

If the Topological Tverberg Conjecture holds for r pairwise disjoint faces and dimension $d+1$, then it also holds for dimension d and the same number of faces. This is a simple fact that follows easily from the constraints method, but was also pointed out by de Longueville [10, Prop. 2.5]. In fact we will show stronger dimension reduction results in the next section. Thus, we are only interested in low-dimensional counterexamples. If r is not a prime power then the Topological Tverberg Conjecture fails for dimensions $3r+1$ and above. Hence, the smallest counterexample this construction yields is a continuous map $\Delta_{100} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{19}$ such that any six pairwise disjoint faces have images that do not intersect in a common point. In subsequent work, Mabillard & Wagner have improved this, with other methods, to get counterexamples $\Delta_{95} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{18}$.

Remark 4.4. Theorem 4.2 immediately implies that the colored Tverberg theorem of "type B" by Vrećica & Živaljević [19, 20] fails for any number of faces r that is not a prime power. They proved that any continuous map $f\colon [2r-1]^{*s} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ has an r-fold Tverberg point for $s \geq \frac{r-1}{r}d + 1$ and r a prime power. This result is colored in the sense that the discrete sets $[2r-1]$ are thought of as color classes and thus intersecting faces do not have monochromatic edges. (The case $r = 2$ and $d = 2$ implies the non-planarity of $K_{3,3}$.) A result is of "type B" in the language of Vrećica & Živaljević if the complex has dimension less than d. It is this codimension requirement that guarantees that Theorem 4.2 may be applied, with $N = (2r-1)s - 1$: If $k \geq 3$ and $d = rk$, with $s = (r-1)k+1$, then the complex $[2r-1]^{*s}$ has dimension $s - 1 = (r-1)k \leq d - 3$.

The constraints method of [4] yields a combinatorial reduction of this result to the Topological Tverberg Theorem like in the case of the Generalized Van Kampen–Flores result. Thus the refutation of this result also implies that the Topological Tverberg Conjecture fails outside the prime power case.

5. How badly does the Topological Tverberg Conjecture fail?

Let $N_r(d)$ be the minimal integer N such that for any continuous map $f\colon \Delta_N \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ there are r pairwise disjoint faces $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_r$ with the property that $f(\sigma_1) \cap \cdots \cap f(\sigma_r) \neq \emptyset$. So far we know that for r a prime power $N_r(d) = (r-1)(d+1)$, and for r not a prime power and d sufficiently large $N_r(d) > (r-1)(d+1)$. Now we establish some upper and lower bounds on the function N_r.

Theorem 5.1. *Let $r \geq 2$ and $d \geq 1$ be integers. Let $q \geq r$ be a prime power and $N = (q-1)(d+1) - (q-r) = (q-1)d + r - 1$. Then for any continuous map $f\colon \Delta_N \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ there are r pairwise disjoint faces $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_r$ with $f(\sigma_1) \cap \cdots \cap f(\sigma_r) \neq \emptyset$.*

Proof. Let $M = (q-1)(d+1)$ and think of Δ_N as a subcomplex of Δ_M. Extend f to a continuous map $F\colon \Delta_M \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$. By the Topological Tverberg Theorem there are q pairwise disjoint faces $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_q$

of Δ_M with $F(\sigma_1) \cap \cdots \cap F(\sigma_q) \neq \emptyset$. Only $q - r$ vertices of Δ_M are not contained in Δ_N, so at least r of the faces $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_q$ are contained in Δ_N. □

Let $r \geq 6$ be an integer. By Bertrand's postulate there is a prime strictly between $r-1$ and $2r-4$, so

$$N_r(d) \leq (2r-6)(d+1).$$

There are of course much more precise estimates available: For example, for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and sufficiently large r (depending on ε) there is a prime between r and $(1+\varepsilon)r$, so

$$N_r(d) \leq (1+\varepsilon)r(d+1).$$

We refer to Lou & Yao [11] for even stronger bounds.

Next we investigate the asymptotics of the function $\frac{N_r(d)}{d}$ for $d \to \infty$.

Lemma 5.2. *Let $d \geq 1$, $r \geq 2$ and $k \geq 2$ be integers, and let $N \geq (r-1)(d+1)$. Suppose that for every continuous map $F\colon \Delta_{k(N+1)-1} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{k(d+1)-1}$ there are r pairwise disjoint faces $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_r$ of $\Delta_{k(N+1)-1}$ with $F(\sigma_1) \cap \cdots \cap F(\sigma_r) \neq \emptyset$. Then for any continuous map $f\colon \Delta_N \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ there are r pairwise disjoint faces $\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_r$ of Δ_N such that $f(\tau_1) \cap \cdots \cap f(\tau_r) \neq \emptyset$.*

Proof. Let $f\colon \Delta_N \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ be a continuous map. The simplex $\Delta_{k(N+1)-1}$ is isomorphic to the k-fold join $(\Delta_N)^{*k}$. Define $F\colon (\Delta_N)^{*k} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{k(d+1)-1}$ by

$$F(\lambda_1 x_1 + \cdots + \lambda_k x_k) = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_{k-1}, \lambda_1 f(x_1), \ldots, \lambda_k f(x_k)).$$

Then there are r pairwise disjoint faces $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_r$ of $\Delta_{k(N+1)-1}$ with $F(\sigma_1) \cap \cdots \cap F(\sigma_r) \neq \emptyset$. Let $\lambda_1^{(i)} x_1^{(i)} + \cdots + \lambda_r^{(i)} x_r^{(i)} \in \sigma_i$ with $F(\lambda_1^{(1)} x_1^{(1)} + \cdots + \lambda_r^{(1)} x_r^{(1)}) = \cdots = F(\lambda_1^{(r)} x_1^{(r)} + \cdots + \lambda_r^{(r)} x_r^{(r)})$. Thus, $\lambda_j^{(1)} = \cdots = \lambda_j^{(r)}$ for all $j = 1, \ldots, k$, where we have equality for the $\lambda_k^{(i)}$ since $\lambda_k^{(i)} = 1 - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \lambda_j^{(i)}$.

At least one $\lambda_j^{(1)}$ is nonzero because $\sum_j \lambda_j^{(1)} = 1$. Then since $\lambda_j^{(1)} = \cdots = \lambda_j^{(r)}$ we have $f(x_j^{(1)}) = \cdots = f(x_j^{(r)})$ and the points $x_j^{(1)}, \ldots, x_j^{(r)}$ come from pairwise disjoint faces in Δ_N. □

Define $\beta_r(d) = N_r(d) - (r-1)(d+1) \geq 0$. The function β_r measures to which extent the Topological Tverberg Conjecture fails in dimension d for a fixed r. We show that if the Topological Tverberg Conjecture fails, then it fails at least linearly in d.

Corollary 5.3. *For any $r \geq 2$ and $d, k \geq 1$ we have that $N_r(k(d+1)-1) \geq kN_r(d)$. Moreover, $\beta_r(k(d+1)-1) \geq k\beta_r(d)$. This can be written as $\beta_r(d) \geq \frac{\beta_0}{d_0+1}(d+1)$ for $d = k(d_0+1)-1$ and $\beta_0 = \beta_r(d_0)$ for some fixed $d_0 \geq 1$.*

Proof. Let $N = N_r(d) - 1$, and let $f\colon \Delta_N \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ be a continuous such that for every collection of r pairwise disjoint faces $\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_r$ of Δ_N the intersection $f(\tau_1) \cap \cdots \cap f(\tau_r) = \emptyset$ vanishes.

Construct the function $F\colon (\Delta_N)^{*k} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{k(d+1)-1}$ as in the proof of Lemma 5.2. Then for every collection of r pairwise disjoint faces $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_r$ in $(\Delta_N)^{*k}$ the intersection $F(\sigma_1) \cap \cdots \cap F(\sigma_r) = \emptyset$ also vanishes. Therefore, $N_r(k(d+1)-1)$ is at least one larger than the dimension of $(\Delta_N)^{*k}$, that is, $N_r(k(d+1)-1) \geq k(N+1) = kN_r(d)$.

Moreover,

$$N_r(k(d+1)-1) \geq kN_r(d) = (r-1)(kd+k) + k\beta_r(d)$$

and consequently $\beta_r(k(d+1)-1) \geq k\beta_r(d)$. Now, $d = k(d_0+1)-1$ implies $k = \frac{d+1}{d_0+1}$. Thus, $\beta_r(d) = \beta_r(k(d_0+1)-1) \geq k\beta_r(d_0) = \frac{\beta_0}{d_0+1}d + 1$. □

Lemma 5.4. *Let $r \geq 2$. Suppose there is a $d_0 \geq 1$ for which $N_r(d_0) \geq \alpha_r \cdot (d_0+1) + c$ for some $\alpha_r \geq r-1$ and $c \geq 0$. Then $N_r(d) \geq (\alpha_r + \frac{c}{d_0+1}) \cdot (d+1)$ for $d = k(d_0+1)-1$ with $k \in \{1, 2, \ldots\}$.*

Proof. We have that $\beta_0 \geq (\alpha_r - (r-1))(d_0+1) + c$. Thus, by Corollary 5.3

$$\beta_r(d) \geq (\alpha_r - (r-1) + \frac{c}{d_0+1}) \cdot (d+1)$$

for $d = k(d_0+1)-1$. This implies that $N_r(d) \geq (\alpha_r + \frac{c}{d_0+1}) \cdot (d+1)$. □

Conjecture 5.5. *Let $r \geq 2$ and $d \geq 1$. Then*

$$N_r(d) \quad = \quad \begin{cases} (r-1)(d+1) & \text{if } r \text{ is a power of a prime or } d \leq r, \\ r(d+1)-1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

This seems to be the strongest plausible statement whose refutation would require methods that are different from the ones employed in [13] or in the manuscript at hand.

References

[1] E. G. Bajmóczy and Imre Bárány, *On a common generalization of Borsuk's and Radon's theorem*, Acta Math. Hungar. **34** (1979), no. 3, 347–350.

[2] Imre Bárány, Senya B. Shlosman, and András Szűcs, *On a topological generalization of a theorem of Tverberg*, J. Lond. Math. Soc. **23** (1981), 158–164.

[3] Pavle V. M. Blagojević, Florian Frick, and Günter M. Ziegler, *Barycenters of points that are constrained to a polytope skeleton*, Preprint, 2 pages, November 2014; arXiv:1411.4417.

[4] ———, *Tverberg plus constraints*, Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. **46** (2014), no. 5, 953–967.

[5] Tammo tom Dieck, *Transformation groups*, Studies in Mathematics, vol. 8, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, 1987.

[6] Michael G. Dobbins, *A point in a nd-polytope is the barycenter of n points in its d-faces*, Invent. Math. **199** (2015), 287–292.

[7] Florian Frick, *Counterexamples to the topological Tverberg conjecture*, Preprint, 3 pages, arXiv:1502.00947, February 2015; to appear in Oberwolfach Reports, (1)11, 2015.

[8] Peter M. Gruber and Rolf Schneider, *Problems in geometric convexity*, Contributions to Geometry (J. Tölke and J. Wills, eds.), Springer, 1979, pp. 255–278.

[9] Gil Kalai, *From Oberwolfach: The Topological Tverberg Conjecture is False*, "Combinatorics and more" blog post, February 6, 2015, gilkalai.wordpress.com.

[10] Mark de Longueville, *Notes on the topological Tverberg theorem*, Discrete Math. **247** (2002), 271–297.

[11] Shi Tuo Lou and Qi Yao, *A Chebychev's type of prime number theorem in a short interval, II*, Hardy-Ramanujan J. **15** (1992), 1–33.

[12] Isaac Mabillard and Uli Wagner, *Eliminating Tverberg points, I. An analogue of the Whitney trick*, Proc. 30th Annual Symp. Comput. Geom. (SoCG), Kyoto, June 2014, ACM, 2014, pp. 171–180.

[13] ———, *Eliminating Higher-Multiplicity Intersections, I. A Whitney Trick for Tverberg-Type Problems*, Preprint, 46 pages, arXiv:1508.02349, August 2015.

[14] Jiří Matoušek, *Using the Borsuk–Ulam Theorem. Lectures on Topological Methods in Combinatorics and Geometry*, second ed., Universitext, Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg, 2008.

[15] Murad Özaydin, *Equivariant maps for the symmetric group*, Preprint, 17 pages, http://digital.library.wisc.edu/1793/63829, 1987.

[16] Karanbir S. Sarkaria, *A generalized van Kampen–Flores theorem*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **11** (1991), 559–565.

[17] Helge Tverberg, *A generalization of Radon's theorem*, J. Lond. Math. Soc. **41** (1966), 123–128.

[18] Aleksei Yu. Volovikov, *On the van Kampen-Flores theorem*, Math. Notes **59** (1996), no. 5, 477–481.

[19] Siniša Vrećica and Rade T. Živaljević, *New cases of the colored Tverberg theorem*, Jerusalem Combinatorics '93 (H. Barcelo and G. Kalai, eds.), Contemp. Math., vol. 178, Amer. Math. Soc., 1994, pp. 325–325.

[20] Rade T. Živaljević, *User's Guide to Equivariant Methods in Combinatorics II*, Publications de l'Institut Mathématique **64(78)** (1998), no. 84, 107–132.

[21] Rade T. Živaljević and Siniša Vrećica, *The colored Tverberg's problem and complexes of injective functions*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A **61** (1992), 309–318.

Mat. Institut SANU, Knez Mihailova 36, 11001 Beograd, Serbia
Inst. Math., FU Berlin, Arnimallee 2, 14195 Berlin, Germany
E-mail address: blagojevic@math.fu-berlin.de

Dept. Math., Cornell University, Ithaca NY, 14853, USA
E-mail address: ff238@cornell.edu

Inst. Math., FU Berlin, Arnimallee 2, 14195 Berlin, Germany
E-mail address: ziegler@math.fu-berlin.de